

## Surmang Foundation Table of CHW Statistics

2011 Community Health Worker (CHW) Delivery Statistics				
<i>Calculated Q4 2010 - Q3 2011</i>				
Delivery Statistics	Rongdou/Mendo	Zatch	Surmang	Total
Number of women visited by CHW	90	33	68	191
Number of women still PG* at interview	6	0	13	19
Number of deliveries (number seen - PG)	84	33	55	172
CHW attended deliveries	81% (68)	70% (23)	64% (35)	73%(126)
Hospital Deliveries	18% (15)	15% (5)	9% (5)	15% (25)
CHW referred woman to ultrasound	17% (15)	58% (19)	59% (40)	39% (74)
Infant mortality at 30 days**	6% (5)	3% (1)	9% (5)	6.3% (11)
Infant mortality at 6 months***	12% (8)	6% (2)	13% (7)	9.8% (17)
Infant mortality for Hospital Deliveries	13% (2)	20% (1)	40% (2)	16% (4)

\*pregnant (PG)

\*\*includes hospital mortality

\*\*\*includes 30 day mortality

includes 50 day mortality

CHW Delivery Statistics 2007 - 2011								
Calculated Q4(Oct/Dec) - Q3(July/Sept) of following year								
Number of deliveries attended by CHW				Rongdou/Mendo		Zatch	Surmang	Total
2007				6		N/A	26	32
program suspended in 2008								
2009				32		N/A	18	50
2010				39		N/A	30	69
2011				68		23	55	126
Prenatal visits by CHW				Postnatal visits by CHW				
	Surmang	Rongdou/Mendo(R/M)		Total	Surmang	Rongdou/Mendo(R/M)		Total
2007	25	40		65	23	21		44
2008	CHW program suspended in 2008; 2009 contains 2 years of data							
2009	64	0		64	37	0		37
2010	85	47		132	37	35		72
	Surmang	R/M	Zatch		Surmang	R/M	Zatch	
2011	153	197	96	446	100	136	90	326

## Surmang Foundation Table of CHW Statistics

\*For 2011, Surmang includes Zatch data

2011 CHW Reported Maternal Statistics				
<i>Calculated Q4 2010 - Q3 2011</i>				
Maternal Demographics	Rongdou/Mendo	Zatch	Surmang	Total Mortality reported to CHWs
Average age of mother	30.12	31.39	30.61	
- age range	17-51	21-47	19-44	
Average number of children	2.88	3.45	3.19	
History of infant mortality*	9% (8/90)	24%(8/33)	16%(11/68)	<b>14%</b>
Reported maternal mortality in 2010/2011	0	0	0	<b>0%</b>

\*CHW told of previous infant mortality by mother, this number is likely underestimated