Jan-Apr'2019



Information Needs Assessment



Rohingya and Host Communities Cox's Bazaar

Table of Contents

Acknowledgements	3
Authors	3
Acronyms	3
About Internews	3
Methodology	3
Executive Summary	4
Key Results	8
Key Findings	10
Language, Literacy, and Education	10
Communication Channels and Sources	14
Radio Access and Consumption Habits	17
Television Access and Consumption Habits	21
Mobile Phone Access and Consumption Habits	23
Newspaper and Magazine Consumption Habits	26
Internet Access	26
Information Needs	28
Refugees.	28
Host Communities.	29
Preferred Means of Receiving Information	32
Communication with Aid Providers	34
Local Community Structures	37
Annex 1: Questionnaire	38
Annex 2: Focus Group Discussion participants	51
Annex 3: Radio Programs Accessed	53

Acknowledgements

The Information Needs Assessment was carried out by Internews with joint contributions from the Common Service for Community Engagement and Accountability project funded by the European Commission's European Civil Protection and Humanitarian Aid Operations (ECHO) and the Advancing Access to Reliable Information (AARI) project supported by the United States Agency for International Development (USAID).

Authors

Matt Abud

Syed Zain Al-Mahmood

Rezaur Rahman

Hasan James

Acronyms

ACAPS Assessment Capacities Project

BISR Bangladesh Institute of Social Research

CiC Camp in Charge

CwC Communicating with Communities

IEA Information Ecosystem Assessment

ICG International Crisis Group

IOM International Organization for Migration

NGO Non-Government Organisation
TWB Translators Without Borders

UNHCR United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees

About Internews

Internews (www.internews.org) is an international non-profit organization whose mission is to empower local media worldwide to give people the news and information they need, the ability to connect and the means to make their voices heard. Internews has been working in humanitarian emergencies since the Tsunami in South East Asia in 2004. Through trainings, products and technical assistance, Internews helps a wide array of local partners and international organizations to embed Communicating with Communities (CwC) into program design and implementation. These initiatives include tracking rumours and answering them for affected communities; collecting feedback from beneficiaries and deliver them back to humanitarian organizations; working with local media outlets, helping traditional media to produce programs aiming at a peaceful coexistence with the host communities; deliver daily legal and other types of information to affected communities via social media, traditional media, mobile and any other tools available in country.

Methodology

Internews surveyed 602 respondents, totaling 501 Rohingya and 101 host community members, between January 29 and February 10, 2019. The survey was carried out by national research firm BISR, and – with minor changes – the questionnaire was the same as that developed by Internews for the Information Ecosystem Assessment

conducted in October and November 2017, which served as the baseline. Between March 4 and March 8, BISR also ran three Focus Group Discussions, comprising two with Refugee Community representatives, and one with Host Community Representatives.1

"Often we don't have enough information about important issues that affect us and then people just make up stuff. That's dangerous." 40-year-old Rohingya man

Executive Summary

This Information Ecosystem Assessment (IEA) builds on the previous study undertaken by Internews and the Emergency Telecoms Sector (ETS) conducted in late 2017. It targets both refugee and host communities, through an extensive quantitative survey and select Focus Group Discussions.2

The standout change between the two Assessments is the enormous jump in the number of refugees who say they now have enough information to make decisions about their daily lives: in the 2017 study this was 23%, while the number now reaches 92%. This is evidence that the efforts to provide information, by Internews, BBC Media Action, Translators Without Borders, and a range of humanitarian organisations, has had real impact in meeting information needs.3

However upon closer examination this dramatic headline figure is less straightforward. Large numbers of refugees still report confusion over how to access several services and meet basic needs, with for example 40% saying they were unclear how to obtain more or better food. Further high numbers needed clarity on financial support, water supplies, and aid registration, to cite some examples; and just as pressingly, they wanted to know more general information about events around the camps, what was happening in Myanmar / Rakhine, and about

long-term options for their and their children's futures. As discussed in the report, it is possible that refugees don't identify the lack of answers to these questions as an 'information gap' that affects their ability to make decisions, but rather a simple lack of options that better information can't resolve.

"The pumps installed by NGOs often run dry. We have to walk far to get water sometimes. I wish we knew how the pumps would be maintained and by whom." 40-year old Rohingya woman.

Clarifying whether this is true or not requires more fine-grained analysis of some of the detailed problems refugees nominate — such as how to fix broken water pumps, or find cooking fuel — to assess to what degree greater information supply (together with feedback mechanisms) are a needed part of meeting remaining, pressing basic needs.

Another factor potentially explaining the change in perceived information gaps is the length of time most refugees have spent in the camps — long enough to create or reconstitute community networks of information-sharing, and to become more familiar with their surroundings and possible strategies to manage needs. Possibly reflecting this,

¹ A breakdown of the participants in those discussions can be found in Annex 2.

² Questionnaires on communication outreach efforts were shared with humanitarian aid organisations; however responses were at an insufficiently low level to provide useful data, and so this is not included. (The 2018 Common Service Community Engagement evaluation comprised a comprehensive review of many of these efforts; see https://reliefweb.int/report/bangladesh/evaluation-common-service-community-engagement-and-accountability-rohingya-refugee.) In addition, while the 2017 study also mapped the local media landscape, that research was not repeated here.

³ The same Common Service Community Engagement evaluation found 68% said they had enough information to meet daily needs, showing a sustained increase since late 2017.

reliance on friends and family for information jumped significantly, by around 30 percentage points to nearly

90%; reliance on mobile phones also jumped by around 10 percentage points. Refugees preferred information to reach them via friends and family, community leaders, and religious leaders – and via direct calls by mobile phone.

The information channels utilized were roughly the same between genders – with, however, men having markedly more access to mobile phones, radio, and TV (although in absolute terms TV was very low for both genders). Women relied upon religious leaders more than men.

As in 2017, host communities occupy parallel and markedly different information ecosystems to refugees, accessing and relying upon media at far higher levels (especially TV, and to a lesser extent radio); mobile phone ownership was also much higher. As with refugee communities, men had greater access to mobile phones, radio, and TV – but the differences between gender were less, and overall access for both was significantly higher than for refugee populations.

This was especially marked for TV, which was easily the top source for host communities, but only an extremely minor one for refugees, reflecting wide differences in literacy levels and schooling, access to infrastructure (including electricity, as well as ownership of TV, radio and mobile phones), and available content produced in the community's language. Host community information needs were also markedly different, highlighting a concern for possible financial support in a context where food prices have risen and crop prices fallen. Host communities also preferred to receive information directly via mobile phone calls, or via friends and family; community leaders however were not a



preferred source, with conventional media (radio and TV) far more favored.

Around 40% of refugees, and nearly half of host community members, cannot communicate with aid providers, and both communities nominate direct communication with aid workers and NGOs as among the most minor sources of information they use. This remains a large shortfall in aid outreach but it is significantly better than the 62% reported in 2017. However the full impact of information provided by aid organizations is difficult to quantify because, although many were aware that they had received such information indirectly (through community leaders and other sources), the study's tools cannot capture just how much information was disseminated in this way without the source being understood or acknowledged. Given the informal nature of much information dissemination through community networks, it is possible that aid communication efforts are reaching a greater audience than those who are consciously aware of it.

For the survey, sample size calculation, locations, and distribution are as follows:

Table -1																									
Location	Population	Sample	15-19		20-24		25-29		30	30-34		35-39		40-44		45-49		50-54		55-59		60-64		5+	
(Refugee Sites)	Population	(PPS)	М	F	М	F	М	F	М	F	М	F	M	F	М	F	M	F	М	F	М	F	М	F	Total
Kutupalong Expantion	602,564	265	6	9	19	15	16	29	34	19	16	22	14	10	8	9	12	10	3	3	0	2	5	4	265
Jamtoli	49,359	43	0	0	6	2	4	4	5	6	4	3	1	0	2	4	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	43
Hakimpara	31,306	28	0	0	2	1	4	1	1	0	3	0	2	6	1	4	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	28
Boggona/Potibonia	21,654	19	0	1	3	2	2	1	1	2	2	1	0	0	1	1	1		0	1	0	0	0	0	19
Chakmarkul	12,267	11	1	0	0	0	2	1	0	2	1	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
Shamlapur	10,997	10	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	1	10
Naya Para Extension	41,285	36	0	1	5	1	5	6	2	3	4	2	2	2	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	36
Nayapara RC	26,999	24	1	0	1	0	3	1	1	3	3	0	1	0	1	2	0	3	0	1	1	0	0	2	24
Unchiprang	22,211	20	1	0	2	0	1	1	0	1	0	5	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	1	1	20
Leda MS	33,654	30	2	1	1	3	2	3	3	3	1	3	2	2	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	30
Kutupalong RC	17,969	15	0	1	1	0	0	3	1	0	2	1	0	2	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	15
Total refugee pop. surveyed		501	11	14	41	24	39	50	50	39	36	40	26	23	17	22	16	18	4	9	4	2	8	8	501
Host Community																									
Haldia Palong	55,200	10	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	2	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	10
JaliaPalong Union	55,369	10	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	10
Palong Khali Union	38,199	7	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
Raja Palong Union	66,174	12	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	2	2	2	1	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12

Information Needs Assessment 2019

Rohingya and Host Communities, Cox's Bazaar

RatnaPalong Union	26,197	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	5
Nhila Union	54,465	10	0	0	0	2	1	2	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
BaharChara Union	35,500	7	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	7
Sabrang Union	67,876	13	1	1	2	1	1	0	0	1	0	2	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	13
Whykong Union	59,153	11	1	1	1	0	2	1	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
Tekhnaf Union	84,545	16	0	0	3	1	1	0	1	1	1	3	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	16
Total host population surveyed		101	3	2	11	6	8	7	6	9	4	12	4	3	7	2	4	3	3	3	2	1	0	1	101
Total population Surveyed		602	14	16	52	30	47	57	56	48	40	52	30	26	24	24	20	21	7	12	6	3	8	9	602

Similarly, to the first IEA, the term 'refugee' indicates an individual living in a camp, who is Rohingya; it does not indicate formal refugee status. Among refugees, a total of 250 women and 251 men were interviewed for the survey; among host communities this was 50 women and 51 men. More than 70% of the refugees interviewed were between the ages of 20 and 44; for host communities the proportion was just under 70%. Just under 1% of refugees and 1% of the host community interviewed had a noticeable and notable disability.

Data are disaggregated by gender where this shows meaningful differentiation.

Key Results

of refugees say they now have enough information to make decisions about their daily lives; in the 2017 study this was 23%

This is strong evidence that the efforts to provide information, by Internews, BBC Media Action, Translators Without Borders, and a range of humanitarian organisations, has had real impact in meeting information needs. However, qualitative data shows that the majority of refugees have inadequate information on issues beyond day to day bread and butter issues. Most respondents said they did not know enough about repatriation, complaint mechanisms and livelihood options.

83,3%

of refugees cite Friends/Family as a main information source

a jump of around 30 percentage points from an earlier INA conducted by Internews in late 2017, suggesting stronger reliance on personal connections and community leaders

43.6%

of host community members listen to the radio

compared to 23.4% of Rohingya. For the great majority of those who didn't listen to radio, almost all – 97.1% – said they didn't

39.5%

of refugees cited Majhis (a form of local leadership) as a source of information

a drop of almost 30 percentage points for what was cited as the top information source in the late 2017 study. This suggests a loss of trust in the Majhi system, put in place by the government.

Key Findings


Language, Literacy, and Education

The language context provided by the 2017 Information Needs Assessment bears repeating here:

'The Rohingya language, which is the primary language of communication for [95%] of the refugee population, has no written script. Chatgaiya, the Chittigonian dialect that is the main language of communication for 64% of the host community, is closely related to Rohingya, but must not be conflated. The Rohingya and Chatgaiya dialects share approximately 70% vocabulary, meaning that communication is possible between the two groups, but risk of confusion is high, and any complex information is unlikely to be mutually understood.' 4

Languages Spoken.


95% of refugees said Rohingya was the language they spoke most often with their family, with only 3% nominating Bangla. This is virtually unchanged from the first IEA.



22% of refugees nominated the Chittagonian dialect of Bangla, or Chatgaiya, as a language they spoke, even if it wasn't the main language at home. However, this is almost certainly 'broken' Chatgaiya, of important but nevertheless limited practical use.

⁴ See that Assessment, and the <u>Translators Without Borders assessment</u> from November 2017, for greater detail on the dynamics of written and spoken language among the Rohingya refugee population.


For the host community, 83% spoke Chittagonian dialect of Bangla (or Chatgaiya), and 13% Bangla, with 4% giving no answer.



Literacy.


Rohingya has no agreed or common written script, with various efforts utilising Roman, Arabic, or other alphabets; a majority of refugees are unaware that any script exists. Chatgaiya is an oral language, i.e. without a written script.**5**

Nevertheless 34% of refugees reported they could read. A Translators Without Borders qualitative research suggests such reading skills are often extremely minimal to the point of not serving as a functional means to communicate important information (see also 'Education', below). Even so, this is a jump from the less than 30% who reported they could read in the 2017 Assessment, which possibly reflects a marginally greater level of access to education services in the camps when compared to the conditions they endured in Myanmar.




⁵ Ibid.


At 29%, slightly fewer refugees reported being able to write than said they could read. Again, other contextual research gives every indication that writing capacity is often marginal at best.



Among host communities the proportions were almost inverted, with 69% reporting they could read, and 31% saying they could not. This corresponds with the differing proportions of each community who had attended at least some primary school (see Education, below).




Again, at 35%, slightly fewer host community members reported being able to write than said they could read.




Education.

59.1% of Rohingya said they received no schooling; of the rest, 14.6% received some primary schooling without completion and 10.4% received religious education; only 1.4% completed secondary school. Female refugees fared worse in access to education, with 64.7% having received no schooling, compared to 53.6% of male refugees.




⁶ In their <u>qualitative assessment report</u>, Translators Without Borders noted: "59% of our sample reported having completed some education at Madrassas. From a language standpoint this is important, since many people will report being able to read Arabic and/or Urdu as a result of religious education. However, they are often unable to comprehend the text as much of their practice focuses only on recitation." (The data sought in this assessment did not require a sample representative of the entire population, which explains why the numbers receiving an education are much higher. However, it is illustrative of some issues in respondents' self-representation of literacy skills.)

For host community respondents, 31.7% received no schooling. 13.9% completed primary school as their highest level of education, with 16.8% completing secondary school without going further. Again, women fared worse, with 34.7% receiving no education compared to 28.8% of men.



Communication Channels and Sources

As noted in the 2017 Information Ecosystem Assessment, "[t]he refugee population is clearly a very tight one, where communication means and habits are strictly community based, with great emphasis on personal connection and community leaders." These dynamics continue to show in the communication channels and sources accessed in this Assessment, where family and community sources remain paramount.



Information Needs Assessment 2019

Rohingya and Host Communities, Cox's Bazaar

These results show meaningful change since the previous IEA. Friends/Family are cited as a main information source by 82.8%, a jump of around 30 percentage points from late 2017. Similarly, 41.3% cited mobile phone calls as a main source, a jump of over 10 percentage points — with the number of men noting this, approximately 30% greater than the number of women.

Regarding media sources, those accessing radio as an information source increased only slightly, reaching 27.4%; however even at such low numbers it remains the largest media platform accessed. Facebook remained just under 10%, with other internet barely rating.


other the direction, 39.5% cited Majhis (a form of local leadership 7) as a way of finding information. While significant, this nevertheless a drop of almost 30 percentage points for what was cited as the top information source in the late 2017 study. As noted in that study, the fact Majhis that are appointed by Bangladesh government officials (notwithstanding that many may have




held positions of profile in their communities prior to displacement) often contributes to a lack of trust in them as a source of information. While the data above show Majhis are the third most relied-upon source of information, Table 12 below shows that, as a first-choice 'trusted source', they are far behind others, including radio. Taken together, this implies that the consolidation of other information networks may mean that Majhis have become relatively less important, and so by preference less relied-upon where this is possible.

Disaggregated by gender, most sources were relatively equally distributed – except men had meaningful, and sometimes significantly more, access to technology, while a greater proportion of women accessed religious leaders.

According to a June 2018 ACAPS report, "[t]he majhi system was established after the 1991-92 Rohingya influx. Individual refugees were appointed as leaders, known as "majhis", by government officials to support the CiCs and the police in maintaining control and order and act as focal points for camp management activities (UNHCR 03/2007)... The majhi system was abolished in the registered refugee camps managed by UNHCR (Kutapalong and Nayapara refugee camps) in 2007 due to widespread corruption and abuse of power (UNHCR 05/2007; ICG 16/05/2018). In makeshift settlements, where humanitarian assistance was limited by the government, majhis remained a dominant force and part of the camp governance structure (UNHCR 2011; IOM 2016)."






When asked how they access information about what's happening in Myanmar, refugees use multiple means, with the most common response being via friends or family (53% of respondents); from a community leader (51%) or by phone call to someone in Myanmar (40%). 28% received such information via community or


religious leaders, 17% via radio coverage, and 7% from NGO workers. All other sources were used by around, or far less than, 5% of respondents.

In stark contrast, Host Communities primarily trust established media platforms for information, with television the overwhelming first choice, radio the most popular second choice, and newspapers third. This implies far greater media access, but also less need for (and / or interest in seeking out) detailed, local information, which television, with its generalized mass audience, is less capable of delivering. This starkly demonstrates the different information environments in which the two communities exist, driven both by localized language skills and media access more generally.




Radio Access and Consumption Habits


Refugees' radio use has only increased marginally since the 2017 Assessment, with 23.4% (or 117 respondents) saying they listened; men had significantly greater access than women.



For the great majority of those who didn't listen to radio, almost all -97.1% – said they didn't have access to a radio set, while 11.4% also said they had no access to electricity. Those that did listen to radio, did so predominantly in public locations, whether that was at work (59.0%) or a common or public location such as a water or food collection point (56.4%); this might be explained by the fact that 42.7% of listeners always carried their own radio with them.8




For the host community, use of radio is significantly higher, with 43.6% in total listening. This may be due to a much greater need for local information, and corresponding increase in radio program production, with host communities more able both to afford radio sets, and to access radio signals.



⁸ 115 refugees said they listened to FM radio specifically. When asked whether they listened to radio programs by particular broadcasters – BBC, VOA, RFA, Al Jazeera, TRT and Peace TV – 35 respondents said they did most days, 21 said once a week, and 39 rarely or never (a total of 6 said they listened once every two or four weeks). 85 said they listened to this programming in Burmese, 53 in Bangla, and 18 in English, with 9 listening in Arabic and only 2 specifying Rohingya dialect.


Women again had significantly less access, though not quite as proportionally severe as in the refugee population.9





⁹ 43 host community respondents – nearly half – said they listened to FM radio specifically. When asked whether they listened to radio programs by particular broadcasters – BBC, VOA, RFA, Al Jazeera, TRT and Peace TV – 15 respondents said they did most days, 4 said once a week, and 24 rarely or never. 43 said they listened to this programming in Bangla, and 4 in English.

However even though the level of radio access was significantly lower for refugees, they listened to a far wider number of radio stations and programs than host communities.**10**




Refugees listened to the radio from early morning (5am onwards) throughout the day, with a low peak in the mid-morning; numbers only dropped significantly from mid-late evening. Host communities tended to listen most in the mid-morning (8-11am) and early evenings (5-8pm), with listenership dropping significantly outside of these times.




¹⁰ See Annex 3, 'Radio Programs Accessed', for data on which programs were listened to.

Television Access and Consumption Habits


Refugees' TV access has decreased marginally when compared to the previous Information Ecosystem Assessment, with 16.8% (or 84 respondents) saying they watched TV; women had dramatically less access. For the great majority of those who didn't watch, 61.8% said they didn't have access to a TV, while 29.4% said they had no access to electricity.




Those who watched TV, largely did so at restaurants or cafes (52% of total responses); at someone else's house (22.3%); or on their mobile phone (13.5%), suggesting women's reduced TV access possibly relates to less mobility and / or free time outside their living quarters than men. Viewing times were evenly spread throughout the day, except for lower numbers early morning or overnight.



Host communities have far greater access to TV, with 86.1% saying they watched it. For those who didn't watch, lack of access was most significant (50%), with lack of time as the second most common reason, at 30%).



Evenings after 5pm were the most common viewing times; 80.5% watched TV at home, and 24.3% watched at restaurants or cafes.



A notable feature is the gender split in TV audiences for specific channels. CD TV was the most popular for women, chosen by 33 female respondents (but only one man), while Jalsha Movie TV was the most popular for men with 32 selecting it, and no women. A significantly greater proportion of men also chose NTV and Shomoy TV; more than twice as many women chose Zee Bangla.


(Among refugees, all stations were more popular for men. This is likely because the total number of women with access to TV, and the minute number of refugees with TV in the home – where channels can be personally chosen – are both simply too low to bring out any differences in audience preference by gender.)

Mobile Phone Access and Consumption Habits

Mobile phones remain the most common information and communication technology, with 63.9% of refugees reporting they used one, a jump from 54% in the previous




assessment. Differences between genders are significant, with 74.6% of males, but only 53% of females, using phones.



For those who had difficult or no access to mobile phones, most (72.9% or 132 people) said they had no mobile handset of their own; 17.1% said there was no mobile signal, while 14.4% said they lacked electricity to charge a phone. This marks a significant change from the previous assessment, where lack of a SIM card was a major obstacle; now only 3.9% of respondents cited this reason. (It remains illegal for refugees to buy SIM cards, but that no longer appears to apply in practice.) For those refugees who lacked easy access to a mobile signal, 40% could obtain this by climbing a hill and 24.6% by walking one kilometer or less.


Almost everyone using mobile phones did so primarily to make and receive calls from friends or family. 20.9% used them to receive news or information alerts. SMS was used minimally.






However, respondents were aware of broader features on their phones, with most notably over 90% of those using phones, confirming the handsets had Bluetooth capacity for sharing or connecting in close proximity. 22.6% and 25.3% said their phones had FM receiver and internet access respectively.

Host communities have much greater access to mobile phones, with 90.1% reporting use; 85.7% of women reported use compared to 94.2% of men.



Connecting to friends and family remained by far the most common use; however while still at a low level, host community respondents used their phones to access social media / the internet at roughly three times the rate of refugees.




Newspaper and Magazine Consumption Habits


Reflecting low literacy levels, the proportion of refugees accessing newspapers and magazines is minimal at under 4%. Among host communities, 41.6% read newspapers (only 4% read magazines), with Daily Cox's Bazaar read by 37% of newspaper readers (or 14% of all respondents).

Internet Access

Internet use remains low among refugees, with 84.4% saying they did not access it at all, and only 8.4% saying they accessed it daily; 2.4% accessed it weekly. This is a small decrease in both overall use, and frequency of use, compared to the previous assessment, and possibly reflects the impact of security arrangements that restrict connectivity in refugee camps between 5pm and 8am.





The greater proportion -39.5% – of those who didn't access the net said they couldn't afford it; 24.6% also said it wasn't relevant to them.



For those who did access the internet, all did so via mobile phone, a change from the first assessment in which small numbers of work, home, and laptop computers also featured. Anecdote suggests this may indicate those refugees who had owned such devices, may have sold them to fund other needs.

Among host communities, 71.1% did not access the internet, with 41.7% saying it was not relevant, and 31.9% saying they had no connection. Again, all those who did access it, used mobile phones.





Information Needs

Refugees.

In contrast to the 2017 study, the great majority of refugees – 92% – said they had enough information to make decisions about their daily lives. In 2017 only 23% of refugees gave this answer; in subsequent research – the 'Common Service for Community Engagement and Accountability for the Rohingya refugee Response', conducted by BBC Media Action11 – this had increased to 68%. This provides strong evidence that initiatives by Internews, BBC Media Action, Translators Without Borders, and others, has helped fill serious gaps in information access for refugees.

However even a cursory drill-down into other responses shows this to be a less-than-simple response, and that information needs are still immense, but more involved. This is perhaps unsurprising, given that refugees have had more time to learn how to operate in their surroundings. For example, despite 92% saying they had enough information to make decisions, Table 31 below shows over 40% of refugees also said they were confused, or needed to know more, about how to get food. Other areas they needed to know more about included basic needs such as getting water or registering for aid.

Focus group discussions showed this dynamic in greater detail, where respondents both said they had access to information – and then immediately began discussing information gaps that related to very specific situations where the link between these gaps, and the ability to make decisions, wasn't always apparent. This included, for example, a lack of information on how to repair a water pump, or not knowing how to find firewood if movement is curtailed:

"The pumps installed by NGOs often run dry. We have to walk far to get water sometimes. I wish we knew how the pumps would be maintained and by whom." 40-year old Rohingya woman.

"We don't have good stoves and don't know how we can get good firewood as we are not supposed to leave the camp. We burn what we can find. Then our hut fills with smoke." 45 year old Rohingya woman

Typically not knowing how to get sufficient food or better access to water would be highlighted as an enduring information gap. It is possible that refugees simply don't see how to solve this need, and so don't identify this as a lack of information — but rather a lack of options. Beyond daily necessities, in other areas the issue isn't necessarily a lack of information per se, but a perceived lack of possible answers to urgent questions.

"The registered camp is becoming more crowded day by day. We have to stand in line for long to get water. We don't know what to do about this." 31-year-old Rohingya woman

The absence of currently-feasible options, particularly around long-term needs, can also 'stand in' for a lack of information:

"We are grateful to the Bangladesh government and the UN for helping us. But we need more information about our children's futures. We are afraid they may get into bad habits if they can't study or work. We are already three generations here. What will become of us?" 60-year-old Rohingya man

"I don't know if I will stay here for the rest of my life or be sent somewhere else. I don't know what will happen to us next month or next year. We are losing hope about a future for us and (our) children." 55-year-old Rohingya man

¹¹ See https://reliefweb.int/report/bangladesh/evaluation-common-service-community-engagement-and-accountability-rohingya-refugee

Information Needs Assessment 2019

Rohingya and Host Communities, Cox's Bazaar

It is also possible that refugees feel they have received lots of information – but that large parts of it are not actionable and not relevant to them being able to meet their needs. Rumours that 'filled' the information gap were also highlighted as a danger:

"Often we don't have enough information about important issues that affect us and then people just make up stuff. That's dangerous." 40-year-old Rohingya man

Others highlighted the danger this limbo – in options, and information – can create.

"No one knows what the international (community) leaders will do. But it is not healthy for hundreds of thousands of people to just sit there not knowing." 45-year-old Rohingya woman

A far greater number of refugees do indeed report being more informed than they were in 2017— which is a positive result following extensive efforts to meet this need. Yet the combination of survey data and focus group discussion suggests the need for has become more nuanced, and incorporated a more complicated terrain of negotiating restrictions and limitations in daily lives, as well as seeking long-term options that are far from becoming manifest.

Host Communities.

All but one of the host community respondents said they had enough information to make decisions on their daily lives. In the Host Community Focus Group Discussion, everyone had information about basic needs such as where to get food and water (although some water pumps sometimes ran dry). The biggest information need was on livelihoods, particularly since the cost of living had gone up – and whether the Rohingya would stay or return to Myanmar.

"We don't know if they (Rohingya) are going back or will stay. The uncertainty is making many people anxious. The authorities should tell us." 30-year-old local man

These concerns are reflected in other responses – such as Table 39, on questions for aid providers. Occasional information gaps were more specific, such as this one:

"We never heard of diseases like diphtheria before the Rohingya came. I wish we knew more about this." 27-year-old local woman


The short- and long-term impact of the refugee influx was uppermost in people's concerns, with perceptions mixed of Rohingya themselves mixed.

"Rohingyas were here. Now they are getting supports from different aid agencies but we are getting nothing. The place where we cultivate watermelon previously, is now occupied by Rohingyas; they are living there. Therefore, we are financially affected." Local man

Refugees' specific information needs ranged from how to get food to financial support to aid registration, and general news on what was happening in the area.


Table 31

Refugees: There are many different things people are confused about or feel they need to know about. What is the main thing you need to know about right now? (Multiple responses.)




Please note: While the table above provides an overall sense of issues about which refugees want to ask questions, the data should be taken as indicative only. This is due to an error in field collection; while each respondent should have selected one choice for first, second, and third options, for a minority multiple responses were recorded for each option. While all of the options reflect refugee needs or questions, their relative importance therefore could well have been in a different order if all respondents had been treated equally.

In contrast, host communities' information needs revolve around economic and security issues, which – as the focus group discussions indicated – can be closely associated by those communities with the sudden and enormous refugee influx.



Preferred Means of Receiving Information


When asked to prioritise means of receiving information, refugee respondents predominantly nominated personal connections, led by friends and family (76.2%), community leaders (69.7%), and religious leaders (32.5%). 86 respondents (17.2%) nominated radio, the highest of the mass media platforms; 213 (42.5%) nominated direct mobile phone calls. Significantly more men nominated mobile phone calls, and several more men than women nominated radio, reflecting their greater access to both. More women than men nominated religious leaders.





When juxtaposed with Table 12 above, the most trusted sources, unsurprisingly, correspond to the preferred ways of receiving information. However perhaps of note is that community leaders / mahjis, and religious leaders, are a long way from the first choice for most trusted, even though many nominate them as second or third choices. As a first choice, radio is almost as trusted as mobile phone calls, and far in advance of community leaders and mahjis, even though access to radio is far lower.

Host communities nominated direct mobile phones above all else as the best means of receiving information. Friends and family came second; however community leaders and other local relationships were low priority, with radio and television scoring far higher, reflecting greater access to these media. Slightly more men than women nominated radio; all other main sources were more or less even across the two genders.




As noted in Table 13 above, host community members trust television most as an information source – but, given the nature of the information need being discussed in this particular question, clearly identify other sources as more appropriate.




Communication with Aid Providers


A majority of refugees say they can speak with aid providers sometimes (41.15%) or frequently (19%). A large minority (39.5%) say they cannot. While still showing large gaps, this is a dramatic change from the previous assessment, in which 62% of respondents reported not being able to communicate with aid providers.




For those who could communicate with aid providers, the main means were face-to-face (55.4%), followed by direct phone calls (14.2%) and via community leaders (12.2%). Additional secondary means included community meetings (22.9%) and religious leaders (15%).




The main questions refugees wanted to ask aid providers related to both long-term needs (with 190 respondents nominating either a means to safely return home or to gain citizenship; this did not examine whether than meant citizenship in Bangladesh, Myanmar, or elsewhere), and short-term (with 181 respondents nominating a need for increased aid, 116 nominating health care, and 77 basic needs such as food, clothing, and adequate shelter).




While a similar proportion of host community members were unable to speak to aid providers, those that could, often managed to do so with less frequency or facility than refugee communities.



As with refugees, face-to-face was by far the most common way of communicating with aid providers; however phone calls came a close second, showing the importance of host communities' increased phone access to their ability to find information directly.



The main question host communities wanted to ask aid providers the price of basic goods (39 respondents), and safety issues (22 respondents); this likely connects to other questions around increased crime. The ongoing presence of Rohingya figured significantly, with their relocation or return to Myanmar also cited by many.



Local Community Structures

Among refugees, 75.8% of men, and 70.7% of women, said they received information from their local Mahjis without problems. A smaller number – 15.1% of men, 17.3% of women – said they received some information but with difficulties, the most common being an inability to reach them (58.9%), although lack of trust (17.1%) was an issue for some. This is a dramatic change from the previous assessment, where a lack of trust was cited as the single biggest reason at 38%. In focus group discussions respondents who were long-term refugees were far less trusting of Mahjis than more recent arrivals.

Questions and feedback refugees would like to ask of or request from Mahjis include the issues of: access to greater or more equitable aid (43.2%); toilets and sanitation (20.0%); health care (17.8%); water supply (19.6%); employment possibilities (12.6%); and repairs to shelter or houses (10.8%).



Annex 1: Questionnaire



COMMUNICATION NEEDS ASSESSMENT AFFECTED POPULATION QUESTIONNAIRE

Purpose: To identify immediate communication and information needs of disaster-affected communities. Interviewees:

Crisis-affected individuals (refugees) Individuals from Host Community

This questionnaire is designed to be a menu of questions.

Depending on how many questions are included, the questionnaire should take around 45 minutes to complete with no translation. If translation is required it may take up to one hour to complete.

INTERV	VIEWER: please fill in before the interview:				
1. Inte	rview date 2. Time				
Refere	ence number				
Locatio	on where the survey was taken (please specify name of the refugee/IDP camp, or other)				
"Good morning/afternoon Sir/Madam, my name is . I work for an organization called Internews. We are conducting a survey to better understand the information needs of people in your community. We are trying to find out what sources of information are available so that, together with the humanitarian agencies working in the area, we can do a better job at getting you the information you need. We understand that you may need many services — like food, shelter, or medical services — so we would like to find out more about how you would prefer to access information about these services, and provide feedback to service providers. We would like to take about minutes of your time to ask you some questions. Your answers will be kept completely confidential. Is that ok?" [** Insert respondent selection explanation here]					
SECTIO	ON A – DEMOGRAPHIC INFORMATION				
A01	Gender - DO NOT ASK. OBSERVATION Male(1) Female(2)				
A02	Age - DO NOT ASK. OBSERVATION 2 15-19(1) 2 20-24(2) 2 25-29(3) 2 30-34(4) 2 35-39(5) 2 40-44(6) 2 45-49(7) 2 50-54(8) 2 55-59(9) 2 60-64(10) 2 65+(11) Don't know(98) 2 Refused(99)				
A03	Disability – DO NOT ASK. OBSERVATION Does the interviewee have a disability? Proposition NO(1) Proposition of the proposition				
A04	Where are you staying at the moment? [Insert relevant options depending on context – village/area/camp]				

A05	Were you born here? If Yes, skip to question A06 If no, continue						
AUS	Yes (1) ② No (2)② Don't know (98) ② Refused (99)						
A06	You said you were not born here - what is the approximate date of your arrival here? Day _ _ Month _ _ Year						
SECTIC	N B – LANGUAGE, LITERACY & EDUCATION						
B01	What languages/dialects do you speak? PROBE: Any others? DO NOT PROMPT [MANY RESPONSES POSSIBLE]						
BOI	2 Language 1 (1) 2L2 (2) 2L3 (3) 2L4 (4)						
	Other (WRITE IN) (23) ② Don't know(98) ② Refused(99						
B02	Which language/dialect do you speak most often with your family? [1 RESPONSE ONLY]						
	Can you read? If yes, what languages/dialects can you read in? DO NOT PROMPT [MANY RESPONSES POSSIBLE]						
B03	2 Language 1 (1) 2L2 (2) 2L3 (3) 2L4 (4)						
Б03	Other (WRITE IN) (23) ②Cannot read(24) ② Don't know(98) ② Refused(99						
	[Testing is recommended – show a card with a simple message on it in local languages and ask them to read it to you, then record]						
BO4	Can you write? If yes, what languages can you write in? DO NOT PROMPT [MANY RESPONSES POSSIBLE] ASK ABOUT SCRIPTS AS WELL AS LANGUAGE						
B04	2 Language 1 (1) 2L2 (2) 2L3 (3) 2L4 (4)						
	Other (WRITE IN) (23) ②Cannot write(24) ② Don't know(98) ② Refused(99						
	What is the highest level of education you have received? READ CATEGORIES [MARK ONE RESPONSE]						
B05	No school(1) ② Some primary school(2) ② Completed primary school(3) ② Some secondary school(4) ② Completed secondary school(5) ② University student now(6) ② University graduate(7) ② Religious education(8)						
	Other (WRITE IN) (9) ② Don't know(98) ② Refused (99)						
SECTIC	ON C – COMMUNICATION CHANNELS & SOURCES OF INFORMATION						
"I wou	ld like to ask you about where you get information from"						
	Since you arrived what are your main ways of finding information here? DO NOT READ OUT. PROMPT IF NECESSARY. [MARK MULTIPLE RESPONSES]						
CO1	Television(1) ☐ Radio(2) ☐ Newspapers(3) ☐ Magazines(4) ☐ Twitter(5) ☐ Facebook(6)						
C01	Internet – other (WRITE IN) (7) □ Email(8)□ Mobile phone call(9)						
	Mobile phone SMS(10) □Billboards(11) □Posters(12) □ Leaflets(13) □ Loudspeakers/megaphone announcements(14)						

	Community events(15) ☐ From another person – friends/family(16) ☐ From another person – community leader(17)							
From another person – religious leader(18) \square From another person – government official(ficial(19)		
	From another person – army/police(20) \Box From another person – aid worker(21) \Box From another person – Mahji(22)							
	Other (WRITE IN) (23) □ Don't know(98) □ Refused(99)							
		re your main v IF NECESSARY		nformation before you were displace	d? DO NC	T READ O	UT.	
	[MARK M	IULTIPLE RESP	ONSES]					
	Televisior	n(1) □ Radio(2	?) □ Newspaper	s(3) \square Magazines(4) \square Twitter(5) \square	l Faceboo	k(6)		
	Internet -	other (WRITE	E IN) (7) □ E	mail(8) Mobile phone call(9)				
C02	-	hone SMS(10) ements(14)	□Billboards(11) □Posters(12) □ Leaflets(13) □ Lo	oudspeak	ers/megar	ohone	
		ity events(15) ity leader(17)	☐ From anothe	er person – friends/family(16) 🗆 Fro	m anothe	r person –	-	
	From another person – religious leader(18) \square From another person – government official(19)							
	From another person – army/police(20)							
	Other (WRITE IN) (22) □ Don't know(98) □ Refused(99)							
	Which information sources do you trust the most to give you the information you need? DO NOT READ OUT.							
	PROMPT IF NECESSARY. MARK FIRST SOURCE and ask: Do you trust any others? [MARK UP TO 2 ADDITIONAL SOURCES]							
	Note: Information sources could be a specific person, programme or station/channel, rather than a whole communication channel. It may be more appropriate to ask this question and list the responses, rather than using the options provided.							
			Informatio	n source	FIRST	SECOND	THIRD	
C03	C03.1	Television			□(1)	□(1)	□(1)	
	C03.2	Radio			□(2)	□(2)	□(2)	
	C03.3	Newspapers			□(3)	□(3)	□(3)	
	C03.4	Magazines			□(4)	□(4)	□(4)	
	C03.5	Twitter or sim	ilar		□(5)	□(5)	□(5)	
	C03.6	Facebook or s	imilar		□(6)	□(6)	□(6)	
	C03.7	Internet – oth	er (WRITE IN)		□(7)	□(7)	□(7)	
	C03.8	Email			□(8)	□(8)	□(8)	

	C03.9	Mobile phone call	□(9)	□(9)	□(9)		
	C03.10	Mobile phone SMS	□(10)	□(10)	□(10)		
	C03.11	Billboards	□(11)	□(11)	□(11)		
	C03.12	Posters	□(12)	□(12)	□(12)		
	C03.13	Leaflets	□(13)	□(13)	□(13)		
	C03.14	Loudspeakers/megaphone announcements	□(14)	□(14)	□(14)		
	C03.15	Community events	□(15)	□(15)	□(15)		
	C03.16	Another person – friends/family	□(16)	□(16)	□(16)		
	C03.17	Another person – community leader	□(17)	□(17)	□(17)		
	C03.18	Another person – religious leader	□(18)	□(18)	□(18)		
	C03.19	Another person – government official	□(19)	□(19)	□(19)		
	C03.20	Another person – army/police	□(20)	□(20)	□(20)		
	C03.21	Another person – aid worker	□(21)	□(21)	□(21)		
	C03.22	Other (WRITE IN)	□(22)	□(22)	□(22)		
	C03.23	Don't know	□(98)	□(98)	□(98)		
	C03.24	Refused	□(99)	□(99)	□(99)		
		DIO ACCESS AND CONSUMPTION HABITS					
"I'm go		c you some questions about radio"					
	,	urrently listen to the radio? [MARK ONE RESPONSE]					
D01		SKIP TO D03					
		Don't know(98) 2 Refused(99) CONTINUE					
	You said RESPONS	you do not currently listen to radio – why not? DO NOT READ OUT ES]	[MARK M	ULTIPLE			
	\square Don't have access to set(1) \square Don't trust available channels (2) \square No access to electricity (3) \square No information available (4)						
D02	□Don't like the radio(5) □Don't have time to listen (6) □Content not relevant(7) □No programmes in my language (8)						
	□No batt □Refuse	teries (9) \square Radio set damaged (10) \square No radio stations on air(13d(99)	l) □Do	n't know((98)		
	□Other,	please state (12) SKIP TO E01					
	L						

	Where do you generally listen to radio? PROMPT IF NECESSARY [MARK MULTIPLE RESPONSES]					
D03	□Home(1) □While I work(2) □At a friend's/relative's place(3) □Collective place (e.g. water/food collection point)(4)					
	\square NGO office(5) \square At school(6) \square On my mobile phone(7) \square I always have my radio with me (8) \square Outside in town(9)					
	□Outside in the fields(10) □At a restaurant/tea shop/café(11) □Other (WRITE IN) (12)					
	□Don't know(98) □Refused(99)					
	What time(s) of day do you generally listen to the radio here? [MARK MULTIPLE RESPONSES]					
	□Early morning (5am to 8am)(1) □Morning (8am to 11am)(2) □Mid-day (11am to 2pm)(3)					
D04	□ Afternoon (2pm to 5pm)(4)					
504	□Evening (5pm to 8pm)(5) □Late Evening (8pm to 11am)(6) □Overnight (11pm to 5am)(7) □Any time (8)					
	□Don't know(98) □Refused(99)					
	Please name the radio stations and programs you listen to the most here? Can you think of anymore?					
	INTERVIEWER: WRITE IN NAME OF UP TO THREE RADIO STATIONS. USE CAREFUL SPELLING.					
D05	ASK What program on this station do you listen to most?					
	ASK What program on this station do you listen to most?					
	ASK What program on this station do you listen to most?					
	N E – TELEVISION ACCESS AND CONSUMPTION HABITS					
"I'm go	oing to ask you some questions about television"					
	Do you watch television at the moment? [MARK ONE RESPONSE]					
E01	Yes(1) SKIP TO E03/04/05					
	No(3) ② Don't know(98) ② Refused(09) CONTINUE.					
	You said you do not watch television here - why not? [MARK MULTIPLE RESPONSES]					
	\square Don't have access (1) \square Don't trust available channels (2) \square No access to electricity (3) \square No information available (4)					
E02	□Don't like television (5) □Don't have time to watch (6) □Content not relevant (7) □No programmes in my language (8)					
	□Television set is damaged (9) □Don't know(98) □Refused(99) □Other, please state (10) SKIP TO F01					
	Where do you generally watch television? PROMPT IF NECESSARY [MARK MULTIPLE RESPONSES]					
E03	□Home(1) □At work(2) □At a friend's/relative's place(3) □Collective place (e.g. water/food collection point)(4)					

	\square NGO office(5) \square At school(6) \square On my mobile phone(7) \square At a restaurant/tea shop/café(8)
	□Other (WRITE IN) (9) □Don't know(98) □Refused(99)
	What time(s) of day do you generally watch television here? [MARK MULTIPLE RESPONSES]
504	□Early morning (5am to 8am)(1) □Morning (8am to 11am)(2) □Mid-day (11am to 2pm)(3) □ Afternoon (2pm to 5pm)(4)
E04	□Evening (5pm to 8pm)(5) □Late Evening (8pm to 11am)(6) □Overnight (11pm to 5am)(7) □Any time (8)
	□Don't know(98) □Refused(99)
	Please name the TV stations you watch the most here? Can you think of anymore?
E05	INTERVIEWER: WRITE IN NAME OF UP TO THREE TV STATIONS. USE CAREFUL SPELLING.
	1st response ASK What program on this station do you watch most?
	2nd response ASK What program on this station do you watch most? 3rd response ASK What program on this station do you watch most?
SECTIO	N F – MOBILE PHONE ACCESS AND CONSUMPTION HABITS
"I am g	oing to ask you some questions about your use of mobile phones"
	Are you using a mobile phone at the moment? [MARK ONE RESPONSE]
504	Yes (1) SKIP TO F04
F01	Yes but with problems (2) CONTINUE
	No(3) ② Don't know(98) ② Refused(99) CONTINUE
	You said you are having problems or are not using a mobile phone - why? [MARK MULTIPLE RESPONSES]
F02	□No batteries (1) □No network signal (2) □Need SIM card(3) □ No electricity to charge phone(4) □ Phone is damaged (5)
	□No mobile handset (6) □No telephone credit(7) □Other (WRITE IN) (8)
	If there is no signal where you are, how can you get a signal to make a call or send a text? PROMPT IF NECESSARY.
	[MARK MULTIPLE RESPONSES]
F03	□There is no signal anywhere(1) □Walk 1km(2) □Walk 1km-5km(3) □Walk more than 5km(4) □Climb a hill(5) □Climb a tree(6)
	□Only works during some hours of the day (WRITE IN THE TIME RANGES THAT THE PHONE WORKS) (7)
	□Other (WRITE IN) (8) □Don't know(98) □Refused(99)

	What do you usually use your mobile phone for? READ LIST [MARK MULTIPLE RESPONSES]				
	□Calling friends and family(1) □Receiving calls from friends and family(2) □Conducting business(3)				
	□Receiving news/information alerts(4) □Money transfers(5) □Sending text messages (SMS)(6)				
F04	□Receiving text messages (SMS)(7) □Sending or receiving an MMS(8)				
	□Taking photos(10) □Sending a photo to others(11) □Taking a video(12)				
	□Downloading and viewing a video clip(13) □Sending a video to others(14) □Recording audio(15) □Accessing social media like Facebook/Twitter(16) □Accessing the internet(17) □Sending or receiving an email(18) □Listening to the radio(19)				
	□Using an app (a software program for mobile phones and computers)(20) □Nothing(21)				
	□Other (WRITE IN) (22) □Don't know(98) □Refused(99)				
	Are any of the following available on the phone you have access to? READ LIST. IF THE RESPONDENT DOESN'T KNOW,				
F05	ASK "Please may I see the phone?" CHECK WHICH CAPABILITIES THE PHONE HAS, AND RECORD [MULTIPLE RESPONSES]				
	□An FM radio receiver(1) □Internet access(2) □Bluetooth(3) □None of above(4) □Don't know(98) □Refused(99)				
Fo6	Do you share anything from one phone to another? (Video, Audio, information or documents)				
	□ Share(1) □ Don't share (2) Go to G1				
F07	How do you share video, audio or documents from one phone to another? (Multiple answers are possible)				
	\square Share it(1) \square Bluetooth (2) \square memory card 3) \square other(99)				
SECTIO	N G – Print Media				
"I'm go	oing to ask you some questions about reading newspapers and magazines"				
	Do you read either of the following? [MARK MULTIPLE RESPONSES]				
G01	□Newspapers(1) □Magazines(2) SKIP TO G03				
	No(4) ② Don't know(98) ② Refused(99) CONTINUE				
	You said that you do not read newspapers/magazines. Why is this?				
G02	□I can't read (1) □None available in my language (2) □None available at all(3)				
	□Can't afford to buy them(4) □Not relevant to me (5) □Don't know (98) □Refused (99)				
	Please name the newspaper/magazine you read the most here? ASK Can you think of anymore?				
G03	INTERVIEWER: WRITE IN NAME OF UP TO THREE NEWSPAPERS. USE CAREFUL SPELLING.				
	1st response				
	2nd response				

	3rd response
SECTIO	ON H – Internet
"I am g	oing to ask you some questions about your use of the internet"
	Do you use the Internet? [MARK ONE RESPONSE]
	Yes, most days(1) SKIP TO H03
	Yes, about once a week(2) SKIP TO H03
H01	Yes, about once every 2 weeks(3) SKIP TO H03
	Yes, about once every month SKIP TO H03
	Very rarely(4) SKIP TO H03
	No, never(3) 🛽 Don't know(98) 🖺 Refused(99) CONTINUE.
	You said that you have problems using, or do not use the Internet, why is this?
H02	\square No computers (1) \square No electricity (2) \square Can't afford it (3) \square No connection (4) \square Not relevant (5) \square Slow connection (6)
	□Don't know (98) □Refused(99)
	How do you access the internet when you use it?
Н03	□ Laptop (1) □Home computer (2) □Work computer(3) □Cyber café (4) □Mobile phone (5)
	□Other(6) (WRITE IN) □Don't know (98) □Refused(99)
H04	Do you watch YouTube?
по4	□Don't watch (1) □ Watch (2) (Name of the Channel)
Ho5	Which website you generally visit most?
1103	\square Don't watch (1) \square Watch (2) (Name of the Website)
SECTIO	DN I – INFORMATION NEEDS
	ng the right information must be vital to you in the current situation. I want to ask you a few questions what you most need information about right now"
	This question is sometimes difficult for respondents to understand and results in answers about general Ask if there is
anythii	ng they are confused about, or feel like they don't have enough information on.
To tria freque	ngulate data, ask local key informants what questions Members of the community have been asking ntly.
	There are many different things people are confused about or feel they need to know about. What is the main thing you need to know about right now? DO NOT READ CATEGORIES. MARK THEN ASK Is there anything else?

Note: Depending on responses received, it may be more appropriate to leave this section as open text, rather than checkboxes.

		Main Issue	Second Issues	Third Issues			
Genera	General information						
101.1	General news on what is happening here	□(1)	□(1)	□(1)			
101.2	News on what is happening at home	□(2)	□(2)	□(2)			
101.3	The weather	□(3)	□(3)	□(3)			
Securit	у						
101.4	The security situation here	□(4)	□(4)	□(4)			
101.5	The security situation at home	□(5)	□(5)	□(5)			
101.6	How to get help after attack or harassment	□(6)	□(6)	□(6)			
101.7	How to stay safe to prevent attack/harassment	□(7)	□(7)	□(7)			
How to	access aid, essential items and healthcare						
101.8	How to register for aid	□(8)	□(8)	□(8)			
101.9	Finding missing people	□(9)	□(9)	□(9)			
101.10	How to get water	□(10)	□(10)	□(10)			
101.11	How to get food	□(11)	□(11)	□(11)			
101.12	How to get shelter/accommodation or shelter materials	□(12)	□(12)	□(12)			
101.13	Information about nutrition	□(13)	□(13)	□(13)			
101.14	How to get cooking fuel/firewood	□(14)	□(14)	□(14)			
101.15	How to get healthcare/medical attention	□(15)	□(15)	□(15)			
IUI IN	How to replace personal documentation (e.g. ID, birth certificate)	□(16)	□(16)	□(16)			
101.17	How to get access to education	□(17)	□(17)	□(17)			
101.18	How to get transport	□(18)	□(18)	□(18)			
Livelih	pods						
101.19	How to find work	□(19)	□(19)	□(19)			
101.20	Food prices	□(20)	□(20)	□(20)			
101.21	Local crop/livestock prices	□(21)	□(21)	□(21)			
101.22	How to get money/financial support	□(22)	□(22)	□(22)			
101.23	Information about relocation	□(23)	□(23)	□(23)			

Comm	unication						
101.24	Communicating with people who are in a different place	□(24)	□(24)	□(24)			
101.25	How to communicate with aid agencies	□(25)	□(25)	□(25)			
101.26	Other (WRITE IN)	□(26)	□(26)	□(26)			
101.27	Don't Know	□(98)	□(98)	□(98)			
101.28	Refused	□(99)	□(99)	□(99)			
Do you think you have enough information to make good decisions for you and your fam ONE RESPONSE.							
	Yes(1) ② No(2) ② Don't know(98) ② Refused(99) What would be the best way for you to receive this infor NOT PROMPT.						
	Radio(1 \square Email) \square Email(2) \square Mobile phone call to you(3) \square Mobile phone SMS(4) \square Billboards(5) \square Posters(6) \square Leaflets(7) Loudspeakers/megaphone announcements(8) \square Community events(9) \square From another person –						
103	friends/family (10) From another person – community leader(11) □ From another person – religious leader(12)						
	From another person – government official(13) \square From another person – army/police(14)						
	From another person – aid worker(15)						
	Other (WRITE IN)(23)						
	□ Don't know(98) □ Refused(99)						
	What are the best ways for you to know what is happening in Myanmar or what step is being taken by Myanmar government for you? Multiple response.						
	Radio(1) \square Television(2) \square Email(3) \square Mobile phone call to Myanmmar (4) \square Mobile phone SMS(5) \square From another person – friends/family (6)						
104	\square Community leaders/Majhi(7 $\ \square$ From another person – religious leader (8) $\ \square$ From another person – government official((9)						
	From another person – community leader(10)						
	☐ From another person – army/police(11)						
	From another person – aid worker(12) \square From another person – NGOs official (13)						
	Other (WRITE IN)(14)						
	□ Don't know(18) □ Refused(19)						
105	Do you listen/watch to the programs of BBC, VOA, RFA, A	Aljazeera, TRT,	Iranian Peace T	V, and other?			
	☐ Yes, most days(1)						
	□Yes, about once a week(2)						

	□Yes, about once every 2 weeks (3)						
	□Yes, about once every month (4)						
	□Very rarely (5)						
	□No, never(6)						
	□Don't k	now (18)					
	□Refused	d (19)					
		anguage you listen to the programs of BBC, VOA ultiple responses.	, RFA, Aljazee	ra, TRT, Iranian	Peace TV, and		
106	□ Burme	se1)					
	□Bangla∶	2)					
	□English3	3) □ Arabic4) □Other					
107	Do you lis	ten to the FM radio?					
107	□ Yes 1) [□ No 2)					
	ı						
SECTIO	N J – CON	MMUNICATION WITH AID PROVIDERS					
"I woul	ld like to a	sk you a few questions about communicating wi	th aid provide	ers"			
	Are you able to speak to aid providers about your needs, ask them questions, or tell them if you have complaints? [MARK ONE RESPONSE]						
J01	□No (1) SKIP TO J03						
	\square Yes, frequently(2) \square Yes, sometimes (3) \square Yes, some of them, specify (4) \square Don't know (98) \square Refused (99) CONTINUE						
	What is the MAIN way you communicate with aid providers? CODE THE MAIN WAY. ASK Can you think						
	of anymo						
	CODE UP	TO 3 RESPONSES. DO NOT READ OUT	MAIN WAY	SECOND WAY	THIRD WAY		
	102.4	h			THIRD WAY		
	J02.1	Telephone voice call to person(s)	□(1)	□(1)	□(1)		
J02	J02.2	SMS message	□(2)	□(2)	□(2)		
JU2	J02.3	Telephone call to radio/TV Program	□(3)	□(3)	□(3)		
	J02.4	Email	□(4)	□(4)	□(4)		
	J02.5	Twitter or similar	□(6)	□(6)	□(6)		
	J02.6	Facebook or similar	□(7)	□(7)	□(7)		
	J02.7	Through a website (WRITE IN)	□(8)	□(8)	□(8)		
	J02.8	Suggestion box	□(9)	□(9)	□(9)		

	J02.9	Face-to-face conve	ersation		□(10)	□(10)	□(10)
	J02.10	Via community me	etings		□(11)	□(11)	□(11)
	J02.11	Via community lea	ders		□(12)	□(12)	□(12)
	J02.12	Via religious leade	rs		□(13)	□(13)	□(13)
	J02.13	Other (WRITE IN)			□(14)	□(14)	□(14)
	J02.14	Don't Know			□(98)	□(98)	□(98)
	J02.15	Refused			□(99)	□(99)	□(99)
J03	1 -	ild provide feedbac estions about?	k, or ask questions to	aid provid	ers, what is	sues would you	want to discuss
	ISSUE 2:						
CECTIC		ni and Community S	************				
"Finally	/ I'd like to cal Majhi. Do you r	o ask you some que " eceive infomration	estions about how you from your local Ma		·	,	
L01	'COMMUNITY LEADER' [MARK ONE RESPONSE] Yes (1) SKIP TO F04						
LUI	Yes but with problems (2) CONTINUE						
	No(3) ② Don't know(98) ② Refused(99) CONTINUE						
	You said RESPONS		oblems communicati	ng with yo	our local M	1ahji - why? [N	ARK MULTIPLE
L02	\square Don't know who they are (1) \square Don't trust them (2) \square Can't communicate with them(3) \square Have no Mahji(4)						
	□Other (\	WRITE IN) (8)					
	How do y	ou receive informa	tion from your Mahji?	PROMPT	IF NECESSA	RY.	
	[MARK M	ULTIPLE RESPONSE	S]				
L03		- face-to-face(1) : - Other(4)	□Direct – Group	Meetings2) □Ind	irect – via Fa	mily Member(3)
	□Other (\	WRITE IN) (8)	□Don't know(98)	□Refused	d(99)		

	Are you able to provide feedback or ask questions to your Mahji? READ LIST [MARK MULTIPLE RESPONSES]
L04	□ Yes (1)
	Yes but with problems (2)
	No(3) ② Don't know(98) ② Refused(99)
	If you could provide feedback, or ask questions to your Mahji, what issues would you want to discuss or ask questions about?
L05	ISSUE 1:
	ISSUE 2:
	ISSUE 3:

That was the final question. Thank you very much for your time and participation. Your responses will help us to understand what information you and others need and how you access information. Your answers will be treated in the strictest confidence"

SECTION R— Interview Basic Data & Feedback						
FOR INTE	ERVIEWER COMPLETION ONLY DO NOT ASK RESPONDENT!					
PLEASE	COMPLETE THIS SECTION AS SOON AS POSSIBLE AFTER THE R	RESPONDENT HAS LEFT. FIELD				
CONTRO	L					
Interviev	ver's name					
R01.	Interviewer code: _ _ _					
R02.	Sex of interviewer (mark one)					
-	Male(1) 🛽 Female(2)					
R03.	Date of interview: Day _ _ Month _ _ Year _ _ _					
R04.	Length of interview: (minutes)					
RO5.	Please provide any general feedback about the interview (any answer; how the respondent seemed)	questions that were hard to				
FOR SUP	ERVISOR AND CODER COMPLETION ONLY					
	Please sign and complete the following:					
	Team leader	MARK ONE				
R06.	Accompanied	2(1)				
	Back checked	2(2)				
	Scrutinized	②(3)				

- O I don't $know_{(1)}$ O To a different $camp_{(2)}$ O To a new relocation $camp_{(3)}$ O Home₍₄₎
- O To live on the street₍₅₎ O To stay with family or friends₍₆₎ O To move permanently to another area (**SPECIFY**)
- O Don't Know₍₉₈₎ O Refused₍₉₉₎



Annex 2: Focus Group Discussion participants

Focus Group Discussion 1

Participant from Host community

Location: Ukhia, Cox's Bazar || Date: March 04, 2019

20 at a co		-
SL. NO.	Sex	Age
	Female	50
	Female	27
	Female	29
	Female	40
	Male	45
	Male	30
	Male	24
	Male	28
	Male	32
	Male	47

Focus Group Discussion 2

Participant Type: Rohingya Community (New Arrivals) Location: Rohingya community FGD (Camp – 7)

Ukhia, Cox's Bazar || **Date**: March 7, 2019

SL. NO.	Sex	Age
	Male	50
	Male	30
	Male	25
	Male	40
	Male	55
	Female	70
	Female	45
	Female	30
	Female	40
	Female	60

Focus Group Discussion 3

Participant Type: Registered Rohingya Community Location: Kutupalong Register Camp, Cox's Bazar

Date: March 8, 2019

SL. NO.	Sex	Age
	Female	60+
	Female	40
	Female	30
	Female	25
	Female	33
	Female	45
	Male	25
	Male	25-30
	Male	20-22
_	Male	55-60

Annex 3: Radio Programs Accessed

Please name the radio stations and programs you listen to the most here? Can you think of any more? (Multiple responses)

FM 1008						
Type of respondent		Resp	onses	Percent of Cases		
Type of respondent		N	Percent	. Crocine or edges		
	News/Cox's Bazar/BBC News	32	72.7%	84.2%		
Crisis-affected individuals	Songs	4	9.1%	10.5%		
crisis directed maividuals	Health related program	8	18.2%	21.1%		
	Total	44	100.0%	115.8%		
	News/Cox's Bazar/BBC News	27	84.4%	100.0%		
Host community	Songs	4	12.5%	14.8%		
	Drama	1	3.1%	3.7%		
	Total	32	100.0%	118.5%		

FM 89.2						
Type of respondent		Responses		Percent of		
.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		N	Percent	Cases		
	Chattogram News	8	53.3%	61.5%		
Crisis-affected individuals	Songs	7	46.7%	53.8%		
	Total	15	100.0%	115.4%		
	Chattogram News	12	66.7%	100.0%		
Host community	Songs	6	33.3%	50.0%		
	Total	18	100.0%	150.0%		

Burma Channel						
Type of respondent		Responses		Percent of Cases		
, , pe or respondent		N	Percent	. 0.00		
	News	7	70.0%	100	0.0%	
Crisis-affected individuals	Songs	3	30.0%	42	9%	
	Total		10	100.0%	142.9%	

BBC							
Type of respondent		Responses		Percent of Cases			
Type of respondent		N	Percent	r creeme or cases			
Crisis-affected individuals	News	1	100.0%	100.0%			
ensis uncered marriadas	Total	1	100.0%	100.0%			
	News	1	50.0%	100.0%			
Host community	Songs	1	50.0%	100.0%			
	Total	2	100.0%	200.0%			



FM 98.8						
Type of respondent		Responses		Percent of Cases		
, ype or respondent		N	Percent			
	News	6	50.0%	85.7%		
Crisis-affected individuals	Songs	6	50.0%	85.7%		
	Total	12	100.0%	171.4%		

FM 90.4						
Type of respondent		Resp	onses	Percent of Cases		
Type of respondent		N	Percent	Tereent or eases		
	News	4	16.7%	21.1%		
Crisis-affected individuals	Entertainment/Songs	18	75.0%	94.7%		
	Health Issue	2	8.3%	10.5%		
	Total	24	100.0%	126.3%		
	News	6	54.5%	100.0%		
Host community	Entertainment/Songs	5	45.5%	83.3%		
	Total	11	100.0%	183.3%		

98.2						
Type of respondent		Responses		Percent of Cases		
Type of respondent		N	Percent	refeelit of eases		
	Entertainment/Songs	1	50.0%	50.0%		
Crisis-affected individuals	Chattogram Barta	1	50.0%	50.0%		
	Total	2	100.0%	100.0%		



ARFA				
Type of respondent		Responses		Percent of Cases
		N	Percent	r creeme or cases
Crisis-affected individuals	Burmese News	14	73.7%	82.4%
	Entertainment Program	5	26.3%	29.4%
	Total	19	100.0%	111.8%

Bangladesh Betar				
Type of respondent		Responses		Percent of Cases
		N	Percent	
Crisis-affected individuals	News	7	20.0%	38.9%
	Songs	16	45.7%	88.9%
	Events	8	22.9%	44.4%
	Sports	1	2.9%	5.6%
	Drama	2	5.7%	11.1%
	Health program	1	2.9%	5.6%
	Total	35	100.0%	194.4%
Host community	News	6	60.0%	100.0%
	Songs	3	30.0%	50.0%
	Drama	1	10.0%	16.7%
	Total	10	100.0%	166.7%

88.4				
Type of respondent		Responses		Percent of Cases
		N	Percent	r creent or cases
Crisis-affected individuals	News	2	50.0%	100.0%
	Songs	2	50.0%	100.0%
	Total	4	100.0%	200.0%
Host community	News	3	60.0%	100.0%
	Songs	2	40.0%	66.7%
	Total	5	100.0%	166.7%

ARM				
Type of respondent		Responses		Percent of Cases
		N	Percent	r crocine or cases
	Burmese News	6	54.5%	100.0%
Crisis-affected individuals	Songs	5	45.5%	83.3%
	Total	11	100.0%	183.3%

Burma Station				
Type of respondent		Responses		Percent of Cases
		N	Percent	Terecine or cuses
Crisis-affected individuals	Burmese News	5	35.7%	83.3%
	Songs	5	35.7%	83.3%
	Chobi	4	28.6%	66.7%
	Total	14	100.0%	233.3%

